

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,408	02/07/2002	Vincent Muniere	Q68363	7319
7590 08/05/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NGUYEN, DAVID Q	
Suite 800			ART UNIT	DADED MUMDED
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, D	C 20037-3213		2681	
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	, / /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/067,408	MUNIERE, VINCENT				
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Q Nguyen	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	7 February 2002.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		y Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3. 		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,408

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art (background) in view of Rathonyi et al. (US 6532211 B1).

Regarding claim 1, the applicant's admitted prior art in background discloses a method of optimizing data transfer in a cellular mobile radio system which implements a procedure liable to disturb said transfer of data, wherein said transfer of data is continued during implementation of said procedure (see page 1, paragraphs 0024-0026). The applicant's admitted prior art in background does not mention a reduced size of the radio protocol data units transferred.

However, Rathonyi et al. discloses a reduced size of the radio protocol data units transferred (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 6). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above teaching of Rathonyi et al to the applicant prior art's method in the background in order to avoid delay transfer of data.

Regarding claim 2, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses wherein said procedure is a cell change procedure (see page 1, paragraphs 0024-0026 of backgound of Applicants' admitted prior art).

Application/Control Number: 10/067,408

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 3, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses wherein said system is a GPRS system and said radio protocol data units are logical link control protocol data units obtained in particular by segmenting higher level network layer protocol data units (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 of Rathonyi et al.).

Regarding claim 4, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses a cellular mobile radio network entity for implementing a method according to claim 1, the entity including: means for transferring radio protocol data units of reduced size during implementation of said procedure (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 and abstract Rathonyi et al.).

Regarding claim 5, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses an entity according to claim 4, including, when said network is a GPRS network and said radio protocol data units are logical link control protocol data units obtained by segmenting higher level network layer protocol data units: means for segmenting said higher level protocol data units into radio protocol data units of reduced size during implementation of said procedure (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 and abstract Rathonyi et al.).

Regarding claim 6, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses the entity being a serving GPRS support node entity (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 of Rathonyi et al.).

Regarding claim 7, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses a mobile station for implementing a method according to

Art Unit: 2681

claim 1, the mobile station including: means for receiving radio protocol data units of reduced size during implementation of said procedure (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 of Rathonyi et al.).

Regarding claim 8, the method of the applicant's admitted prior art in background in view of Rathonyi et al. also discloses when said network is a GPRS network and said radio protocol data units are logical link control protocol data units obtained for example by segmenting higher level network layer protocol data units: means for reassembling said higher level protocol data units into higher level radio protocol data units during implementation of said procedure (see col. 10, lines 39-54 and fig. 2 and fig. 6 of Rathonyi et al.).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-605-4254. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 703-308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,408

Art Unit: 2681

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W

David Nguyen

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600